IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

)
MOTOROLA, INC., a Delaware Corporation,)
Plaintiff / Counterclaim Defendant,)
v.) Case No. 08 CV 5427
LEMKO CORPORATION, an Illinois Corporation,)
and XIAOHONG SHENG, an individual,) Judge Matthew F. Kennelly
Defendants / Counterclaim Plaintiffs,) Magistrate Judge Geraldine) Soat Brown
SHAOWEI PAN, an individual, HANJUAN JIN, an	,)
individual, XIAOHUA WU, an individual, and)
XUEFENG BAI, an individual,)
)
Defendants.)
)

PLAINTIFF'S THIRD MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY FROM DEFENDANTS LEMKO, SHENG, PAN, WU AND BAI

Plaintiff has re-noticed its Motion to Compel dated October 2, 2009 (Dkt. 231), seeking an order compelling production of certain documents from Lemko, Sheng, Pan, Wu, and Bai. In addition to the requests for production addressed in that motion to compel, Plaintiff brings this (third) motion to compel production of several <u>additional</u> categories of information that have also not been produced. As more fully explained in the Memorandum submitted herewith, Plaintiff requests that this Court order the immediate production of the following information and

Plaintiff's October 2nd motion was continued by this Court. *See* Dkt. 241. Defendants have produced no further information responsive to the discovery requests that are the subject matter of Plaintiff's October 2nd motion. Accordingly, Plaintiff is filing a re-notice of the October 2nd motion contemporaneously with the filing of this third motion to compel.

materials, each of which have been the subject of discovery requests outstanding since as early as April, 2009:

§ Lemko's "bug logs" (Request No. 6 to Lemko).

§ Lemko's email logs (Request No. 7 to Lemko).

§ Lemko's "MySql" database (Request No. 8 to Lemko).

§ Communications with Network Solutions regarding the Lemko website www.lemko.com and email system (Request No. 9 to Lemko).

§ Documents regarding Lemko's activities in China and India (Request No. 12 to Lemko).

§ Identification of any and all Motorola property in the possession of any individual defendant, as of the last date of their employment with Motorola (Interrogatory No. 5 to each individual defendant).

For each of the reasons stated in that memorandum, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant its motion and compel the Individual Defendants to fully respond to Interrogatory No. 5 and (b) compel Defendant Lemko to respond fully to Requests Nos. 6-9 and 12, as described in this motion.

Date: February 8, 2010 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ R. Mark Halligan

R. Mark Halligan (IL 6200723)

rmhalligan@nixonpeabody.com

Deanna R. Swits (IL 6287513)

dswits@nixonpeabody.com

Jodi Rosen Wine (IL 6209883)

jwine@nixonpeabody.com

NIXON PEABODY LLP

300 S. Riverside Plaza, 16th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60606

Tel: (312) 425-3900 Fax: (312) 425-3909

Attorneys for Plaintiff Motorola, Inc.